

|   |                        |                       |  |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b>   |  |
|   | 10/692,325             | BELOTSERKOVSKY ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>       |  |
|   | Sarah J. Chisdes       | 2877                  |  |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Sarah J. Chisdes.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Charles H. Jew.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 18 August 2005

**Time:** 12:40pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,8,9,10,17, and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

deletion of terms "preferentially", "preferably", and "preferential" from the claims. The attorney approved the examiner's amendment.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Gregory J. Tooley, Jr.**  
Supervisory Patent Examiner

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)